Regeneration trees with deer: can anything be done?

Today's talk:

Describe the specific impacts of deer on tree regeneration in upland broad-leaved forests.

Describe other factors with similar negative impacts on tree regeneration

Some take homes, and suggestions for how to manage northern hardwood forests with high deer populations

Work of many, including: Megan Matonis, James Millington, Jesse Randall, John Willis



The Impacts

Tree and shrub stem density by size class in aspen stand understories Roscommon County, Michigan



Modified from Randall and Walters, Forest Ecology and Management 259: 1102-1110

10000 Hunt club property ~ 29 deer/mile² red maple black cherry oaks 1000 ironwood witch hazel viburnum 100 white ash other 10 1 10000 Adjacent MDNR land ~ 17 deer/mile² 1000 100 10 1 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 Height class (m) (0.2-0.5, 0.5-1.0, 1.0-1.5, etc.)

Stems/ hectare

Tree and shrub densities in aspen understories: Roscommon County, Michigan

The Impacts

Gap densities of 1-2 m tall sugar maple saplings





347 gaps distributed over 59 harvested northern hardwood stands

- 75% of all saplings ironwood and sugar maple
- No sugar maple sapling recruits in 50% of gap centered (14 m diameter) plots

Adapted from Matonis, Walters, Millington. Forest Ecology and Management 262: 286 -298

Stand average gap densities 1-2 m ironwood saplings



- Gaps instead occupied by other species, mostly ironwood, or nothing at all
- 12% of gap centered plots were empty





Possible reasons for low sugar maple regeneration density



The Impacts

Same central UP study area: 143 southern NH stands, 44 northern NH stands



Height class (m)





Height class (m)





The impacts

Two 40 acre installations, Emmet County, MI : 45 harvest gaps in each

Effects of gap size and deer on planted and natural seedlings



Planted seedlings, kept weeded. Six years old

ST = <66ft diameter SG= 66-75 ft G = 75-110 ft

Planted and naturally established seedlings have similar growth dynamics....

On average, gap winners of sugar maple in all gap sizes are nowhere close to crossing 1.5 m threshold.

In larger openings, most sugar maple are still underneath competing vegetation Planted seedlings, kept weeded. Six years old



After six year's growth:

5/12 species transcend 1.5 m in height in large group selection gaps. 3/12 do so in single tree selection gaps.

Several species of management interest (red oak, sugar maple, white pine, hemlock) do not make 1.5 m.

If not fenced, no one makes it yet...

*browse damage observed, but no effect on height yet

^{\$} browse damage not observed

Naturally established seedlings



(22,270 tallied, 214,000/acre) were of just two species.

- Stocking of seedlings is high, but diversity is low
- Gap winners disproportionally ash and pin cherry. Why? rapid growth for pin cherry and ash, slow growth and 90% mortality for maple
- Ash nearly completely dominates if deer are not excluded.

Other factors

Competing vegetation



Randall and Walters, In preparation



Local seed sources may be absent or seed source trees may be too small



Other factors



Harvest gaps too small

Increasing gap size (bole to bole sizes in Megan's study 90- 900 m2) increases sugar maple and other seedlings.

Other important point: gap size, competing vegetation and deer <u>simultaneously</u> impact seedling recruitment.

Matonis, Walters, Millington Forest Ecology and Management 262: 286 - 298

Other possible factors

Northern hardwood forests are associated with lake effect "snow-belts" (Henne et al. 2007, Booth et al 2012)



Areas where we found higher sugar maple density also coincide with high snowfall areas



Take home

When assessing potential deer problems important to keep in mind that other factors may be contributing to lack of regeneration: insufficient local seed sources, competing vegetation

- Lack of seed sources
- Lack of suitable substrates for <u>some</u> species (Willis)
- Competing vegetation
- Small harvest gaps

Don't count seedlings, count canopy recruits

- Seedling abundance < 1.5 m doesn't mean much. High densities of small seedlings can be maintained at high deer concentrations.
- Seedlings 1-2 meters strongly affected by deer (density and composition).
- Can't count as successful regeneration until > 1.5 m in height.





Take home

Deer

Browse,

Competing vegetation

If deer are removed and you have advanced regeneration, what you see is what you'll get

Faithorn, Menominee County: Exclosures up for six years



Stems/ha

Take home

Effects strong enough to ultimately diminish timber productivity (and change other values), so this issue needs to be addressed if long-term sustainable harvest is a goal

Our simulations show that: given current average stand conditions in the UP

a 75% reduction in 7m tall sugar maple from complete stocking results in a 20% reduction in harvested timber and a decline in warbler habitat over 100 years



Ways to manage around deer

Find alternatives to single tree selection silviculture

• Group selection?

Planted seedlings, seven years old



2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 20132008 2009 2010 2011 2012 20132008 2009 2010 2011 2012 20132008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013





Shelterwoods?

 Leave larger seed trees of predominantly sugar maple but also of other desirable species. Develop large seedling cohort before overstory removal allows them to "bolt" past deer browse risk.

Thanks to

- MDNR
- USFS
- USDA
- Forests for the Future (Fred Prince)
- Industry Partners