80 Years of Silvicultural Research at the Dukes Experimental Forest, MI

Presenter: Christel C. Kern Co-P.I.: Laura Kenefic Technical Support: John Bennink

Sponsored by USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station

Outline

- Historical Context
- Cutting Methods Study
- 2007 Remeasurement <u>Prelim. Results</u>
- Future Plans
- (Field Trip)

Custodial Era (1900-1925)*

- Stewardship
- Observational Research
- "Selective Cutting" (1925-1960)*
 - Sustainability
 - Partial Cutting Case Studies

*Seymour, 2004



• 1923

- Forest Service Research
- Lake States Forest Experiment Station
- 1926
 - Dukes Field Station
 - Cutting Methods Study



Two of the Station's original staff members, A. E. Wackerman (left), and J. A. Mitchell (right), in front of the temporary headquarters of the Upper Peninsula Experimental Forest on June 15, 1926. 216



 Northern Hardwood **Forest** • U.P. 2/3 'Natural' State Economically Valuable Yellow Birch Veneer Ecologically Complex Management Options









Peninsula Experimental Forest.

• 1920-1940's

Study Maintenance:
Repeated measurements
Major Publications:

Monograph
(Eyre & Zillgitt 1953)

Marking guide

(Arbogast 1957)



Monograph (Eyre & Zillgitt 1953)

- Ecology
- Management
- Issues



 Marking Guide (Arbogast 1957)
 Monograph summary



Selection System

- Ecological Attributes
- Economically Sustainable



Selection Marking

- Unevenaged
 - Reverse-j structure
- Keep best trees
 - rBA 50-75 in sawlogs
 - Max DBH = 24"
- Remove defective and high risk
 - ~15 year cutting cycle



FIGURE 31.—Number of trees per acre of two second-growth stands: A, Evenaged stand 60 years after clear cutting for charcoal; B, uneven-aged stand 35 years after a sawlog operation.

- Selection System
 Paradigm
 - 20 years of data
 - Unreplicated design
 - Other Research
 New Studies (replicated)
 Other Organizations



- 1950-1960's
 - Study continued
- 1970's
 - Study closed
- 1986
 - Demonstration & Interpretation
- 2007
 - Renewed interest
 - Limited long term studies
 - Limited studies with a range of treatments



Study Objectives 2007

"80-year Test" of 1953 Monograph
Compare short and long term results
Compare Diameter Limits with Selection Systems

Treatments:

- Diameter Limits
 - Clearcut (> 5" dbh)
 - 12" Diameter Limit
 - 17" Diameter Limit
 - 22" Diameter Limit

- Selection Systems
 - Overmature & Defective Selection (#1 and #2)*
 - Group Selection

* Basis for Arbogast Guide

Treatments:

- Diameter Limits
 - Clearcut (> 5" dbh)
 - 12" Diameter Limit
 - 17" Diameter Limit
 - (AKA "70% Selection")
 - 22" Diameter Limit

- Selection Systems
 - Overmature &
 Defective Selection
 (#1 and #2)*
 - Group Selection

* Basis for Arbogast Guide

| Diameter Limit | Time since last harvest | Total Number of Harvest | Selection | Time since last harvest | Total Number of Harvest |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CC | 80 | 1 | OMD#1 | 21 | 3 |
| 12"DL | 45 | 2 | OMD#2 | 45 | 3 |
| 17"DL | 21 | 4 | GrpSel | 42 | 4 |
| 22"DL | 21 | 5 | | | |

| Ū | СС | 12"DL | 17"DL | 22"DL | | OMD#1 | OMD#2 | | GrpSel | |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|---------|--|-----------|---------|--|---------|--|
| | 80y (1) | 45y (2) | 21y (4) | 21y (5) | | 21y (3) | 45y (3) | | 42y (4) | |
| | Diameter Limit | | | | | Selection | | | | |

Basal Area for Trees (>5" DBH) in 2007



Proportion of ACCEPTABLE Growing Stock



Acceptable Growing Stock by Size Class in 2007



"CUTTING METHOD"



Clearcut (>5" dbh)



12" Diameter Limit



17" Diameter Limit



22" Diameter Limit



Overmature & Defective #1



Overmature & Defective #2



Group Selection



Tree (> 5" dbh) Composition



^Dercent of Basal Area

Tree (> 5" dbh) Composition (EXCEPT Sugar Maple)



Saplings (1 - 4" dbh)



Sapling (1 - 4" dbh) Composition



Percent of Basal Area

Advance Regeneration (>1' tall & <1"dbh)



Advance Regeneration (> 1' to < 1" dbh) Composition



Percent of Density

- Seedlings & Germinants (<1' tall)
 - 100% stocking
 - Except OMD#1 (88%) & 22"DL (94%)
 - Composition
 - Sugar maple dominated
 - Other overstory species found
 - Except yellow birch, white ash, and basswood



Summary

- Acceptable growing stock
 - Greatest in OMD#1
 - Least in CC
- Diameter Distributions
 - Similarities between Diameter Limits & Selections
 - Selection could reestablish EZ1953
- Composition
 - Greatest diversity in Group Selection
 - Least in OMD#2



Summary

- Saplings
 - Few midtolerants in Selection
 - Diversity maximized in 12"DL
- Advance Regeneration
 - Greatest density in 22"DL
 - Few Midtolerant spp. In Selection
 - 100% Sugar maple in CC & OMD#2



Comparison with E&Z

- Moderate intensity harvest may have most merchantable
- 'Special Cutting Practices' needed for YB
- Other diameter distributions may be possible



Future Plans

- Archived data analysis
- 2008 Tree Grade data collection
- Publication, Presentation, Field Training



Other NRS Northern Hardwood Management Research

- Revisiting Stocking and Cutting Cycle Study (50+ year record) at Dukes EF
- Revisit Canopy Gap Study, Cheq-Nic NF (12 yr record)

Maintaining Growth & Yield Study, Argonne EF

Ecological Forestry research, Argonne EF

Thanks!



Thanks!







